www.ijarp.com **ISSN 2456-9992** Page: 01-14

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Review Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 04

A REVIEW ON ECO-FRIENDLY BIOPOLYMER NANOCOMPOSITES

Dr. Ch. S. Anuradha*[1], Dr. S. Padmavathi [2]

[1] Department of Chemistry, [2] Department of Botany
Dr.V.S.Krishna Government Degree & PG College (A), Visakhapatnam-530013.

Article Received: 13 March 2026, Article Revised: 02 April 2026, Published on: 22 April 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Ch. S. Anuradha**
Department of Chemistry Dr.V.S.Krishna Government Degree & PG College (A), Visakhapatnam-530013.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.7240

ABSTRACT

The escalating environmental crisis caused by persistent plastic waste has catalyzed intensive research into biodegradable alternatives. Biopolymer nanocomposites represent a promising solution, combining the inherent biodegradability of natural polymers with enhanced mechanical, thermal, and barrier properties conferred by nanoscale fillers. This review examines the current state of eco-friendly biopolymer nanocomposites, focusing on their synthesis, characterization, properties, applications, and environmental fate. We explore various biopolymer matrices including polylactic acid (PLA), polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), starch, cellulose, and protein-based polymers, along with nanofillers such as cellulose nanocrystals, nanoclays, and chitosan nanoparticles. The review critically analyzes the biodegradation mechanisms, life cycle assessments, and current challenges limiting widespread commercialization, while highlighting future research directions toward truly sustainable plastic alternatives.

KEYWORDS: Nanocomposite, biodegradable, biopolymers, nanofillers, biocompatibility.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Plastic Pollution Crisis

Global plastic production has increased exponentially from 2 million tons in 1950 to over 400 million tons annually today, with projections suggesting this could triple by 2060. Conventional petroleum-based plastics persist in the environment for centuries, accumulating in landfills, oceans, and ecosystems [1]. Microplastics have been detected in remote Arctic ice, deep ocean trenches, and even human blood, raising serious concerns about ecological and human health impacts. The urgency of this crisis demands innovative materials that maintain the functionality of conventional plastics while offering genuine environmental compatibility [2].

1.2 Biopolymers as Sustainable Alternatives

Biopolymers are polymers produced from renewable biomass sources or synthesized by microorganisms. Unlike conventional plastics derived from finite fossil fuels, biopolymers offer reduced carbon footprints and the potential for complete biodegradation [3]. However, pristine biopolymers often exhibit inferior mechanical strength, thermal stability, and barrier properties compared to their petroleum-based counterparts, limiting their practical applications. This performance gap has driven research into biopolymer nanocomposites [4].

1.3 Nanotechnology Enhancement Strategy

Incorporating nanofillers into biopolymer matrices creates nanocomposites with significantly improved properties at remarkably low filler loadings, typically below 5% by weight. The nanoscale dimensions provide enormous interfacial areas, enabling efficient stress transfer and creating tortuous pathways that enhance barrier properties [5]. When both the matrix and nanofiller are derived from renewable, biodegradable sources, the resulting nanocomposite represents a truly eco-friendly alternative to conventional plastics.

2. Biopolymer Matrices

2.1 Polylactic Acid (PLA)

PLA is a thermoplastic aliphatic polyester derived from fermented plant starches, typically corn, sugarcane, or cassava. It has emerged as one of the most commercially successful biopolymers due to its good mechanical properties, transparency, and processability using conventional plastic manufacturing equipment [6].

Synthesis and Properties: PLA is synthesized through ring-opening polymerization of lactide, which is produced from lactic acid fermentation. It exhibits a tensile strength of 50-70 MPa and a Young's modulus of 3-4 GPa, comparable to polystyrene. However, PLA suffers from brittleness, poor heat resistance with a glass transition temperature around 60°C, and slow crystallization rates [7].

Limitations and Nanocomposite Solutions: The incorporation of nanofillers addresses several PLA deficiencies. Cellulose nanocrystals can act as nucleating agents, accelerating crystallization and improving heat deflection temperature. Nanoclays create tortuous diffusion paths, significantly reducing oxygen permeability for food packaging applications. Recent studies demonstrate that 3% organically modified montmorillonite can increase PLA's tensile strength by 25% while improving its biodegradation rate in composting conditions.

2.2 Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)

PHAs represent a family of polyesters biosynthesized by numerous bacteria as intracellular carbon and energy storage materials. Over 150 different monomers can be incorporated into PHA chains, yielding diverse material properties.

Types and Characteristics: Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) is the most common PHA, offering high crystallinity and good barrier properties [8]. However, it is extremely brittle and thermally unstable near its melting point. Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV) copolymers provide improved flexibility and processability. PHAs are completely biodegradable in soil, marine, and composting environments.

Nanocomposite Developments: Cellulose nanofibers have proven particularly effective in PHA matrices, creating strong interfacial hydrogen bonding. Studies show that 5% cellulose nanofiber loading can double the elongation at break of PHB while maintaining its excellent barrier properties. Additionally, nanofillers can stabilize PHAs during processing by acting as thermal stabilizers.

2.3 Starch-Based Biopolymers

Starch is abundant, inexpensive, and completely biodegradable, making it attractive for disposable packaging applications. Native starch granules must be destructured through thermoplastic processing with plasticizers to create thermoplastic starch (TPS) [9].

Processing Challenges: TPS exhibits poor moisture resistance, mechanical weakness, and retrogradation over time as starch chains re-crystallize. These limitations have historically restricted starch applications.

Nanocomposite Improvements: Nanofillers dramatically enhance TPS performance. Montmorillonite nanoclays can reduce water vapor permeability by up to 70% while improving tensile strength. Cellulose nanocrystals provide reinforcement and reduce retrogradation by interfering with starch chain reassociation. Recent innovations include starch-PLA blends reinforced with halloysite nanotubes [10], demonstrating synergistic improvements in both mechanical and barrier properties.

2.4 Cellulose and Cellulose Derivatives

As the most abundant biopolymer on Earth, cellulose and its derivatives offer tremendous potential for sustainable materials. Cellulose acetate, carboxymethyl cellulose, and regenerated cellulose films have been extensively studied.

Nanocomposite Applications: Cellulose-based nanocomposites benefit from excellent compatibility when using cellulosic nanofillers. Bacterial cellulose nanofibers in cellulose acetate matrices create high-performance biodegradable films with exceptional mechanical strength and optical clarity [11]. These materials show promise for transparent packaging and biomedical applications.

2.5 Protein-Based Biopolymers

Proteins from sources such as wheat gluten, soy protein, whey protein, gelatin, and casein can form biodegradable films and coatings. While generally exhibiting poor mechanical properties and high moisture sensitivity, protein polymers offer excellent oxygen barrier properties and can be functionalized with bioactive compounds [12].

Nanocomposite Enhancement: Layered silicates and cellulose nanocrystals improve protein film mechanical strength and moisture resistance. Gelatin-chitosan nanoparticle composites demonstrate antimicrobial properties suitable for active food packaging, while zein-halloysite nanotube composites show enhanced controlled release capabilities for agricultural applications.

3. Nanofiller Types and Properties

3.1 Cellulose Nanocrystals (CNCs) and Nanofibers (CNFs)

Cellulose nanomaterials represent the most extensively studied bio-based nanofillers due to their renewable nature, high aspect ratio, excellent mechanical properties, and biodegradability [13].

Cellulose Nanocrystals: CNCs are rod-like crystalline particles typically 5-70 nm in diameter and 100-500 nm in length, isolated through acid hydrolysis of cellulose fibers. They exhibit exceptional stiffness with an elastic modulus around 130-150 GPa, comparable to Kevlar. CNCs can improve biopolymer tensile strength by 50-100% at loadings of 3-5%.

Cellulose Nanofibers: CNFs are longer and more flexible than CNCs, typically 5-50 nm wide with lengths up to several micrometers. Obtained through mechanical disintegration processes, CNFs form entangled networks that provide excellent reinforcement. Their high aspect ratio makes them particularly effective at low loadings [14].

Challenges: Both CNCs and CNFs tend to aggregate due to strong hydrogen bonding, and their hydrophilic nature creates compatibility issues with hydrophobic biopolymers. Surface modification strategies using silylation, esterification, or polymer grafting have been developed to address these challenges.

3.2 Nanoclays

Layered silicate clays, particularly montmorillonite, have been widely investigated as nanofillers due to their high aspect ratio, natural abundance, and low cost [15].

Structure and Modification: Montmorillonite consists of stacked aluminosilicate layers approximately 1 nm thick. To achieve good dispersion in polymer matrices, the naturally hydrophilic clay must be organically modified using quaternary ammonium surfactants. This increases interlayer spacing and improves compatibility with biopolymers [16].

Dispersion Morphologies: Three dispersion states are possible: phase-separated (microcomposites), intercalated (polymer chains inserted between clay layers), and exfoliated (individual clay platelets fully dispersed). Exfoliation provides maximum property enhancement by creating the largest interfacial area. Properly exfoliated nanoclays can reduce oxygen permeability by an order of magnitude while improving mechanical strength and thermal stability.

3.3 Chitosan Nanoparticles

Chitosan, derived from deacetylation of chitin (found in crustacean shells and fungal cell walls), is a cationic polysaccharide with inherent antimicrobial properties. Chitosan nanoparticles, typically 50-500 nm in diameter, can be prepared through ionic gelation or emulsion methods [17].

Functional Benefits: Beyond mechanical reinforcement, chitosan nanoparticles impart antimicrobial activity to nanocomposites, making them attractive for active food packaging. They can also serve as carriers for bioactive compounds, antioxidants, or antimicrobial agents. Chitosan's biodegradability and biocompatibility make it ideal for medical applications including wound dressings and controlled drug delivery.

3.4 Carbon-Based Nanomaterials

While graphene and carbon nanotubes offer exceptional mechanical and electrical properties, concerns about their environmental persistence and toxicity have limited their use in biodegradable nanocomposites. Research has shifted toward bio-derived carbons such as biochar nanoparticles and activated carbon nanofibers [18], which maintain functionality while offering better environmental profiles.

3.5 Metal and Metal Oxide Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles of silver, zinc oxide, titanium dioxide, and copper oxide provide antimicrobial, UV-blocking, and photocatalytic functionalities. However, questions about their ecotoxicity and bioaccumulation potential require careful consideration. Many researchers advocate for encapsulation strategies that prevent nanoparticle migration while maintaining their functional benefits.

3.6 Halloysite Nanotubes

Halloysite is a naturally occurring aluminosilicate clay with a hollow tubular structure, typically 50 nm in diameter and 500-1000 nm in length. Its natural availability, low cost, and unique morphology make it attractive for biopolymer reinforcement. The hollow lumen can be loaded with functional agents for controlled release applications, enabling smart packaging that responds to environmental conditions [19].

4. Processing and Manufacturing Methods

4.1 Solution Casting

This laboratory-scale method involves dissolving the biopolymer in a suitable solvent, dispersing nanofillers, and evaporating the solvent to form films [20]. While providing excellent nanofiller dispersion, solution casting faces scalability challenges and environmental concerns from solvent use. It remains valuable for research, small-scale production, and applications requiring ultrathin films.

4.2 Melt Processing

Melt compounding through extrusion followed by injection molding, compression molding, or film blowing represents the most industrially relevant processing route [21]. This solvent-free approach is compatible with existing plastic manufacturing infrastructure.

4.3 Electrospinning

Electrospinning produces ultrafine nanocomposite fibers by applying high voltage to a polymer solution, creating nonwoven mats with high surface area. These materials excel in filtration, wound dressing, and tissue engineering applications [22]. PLA-cellulose nanocrystal electrospun scaffolds demonstrate enhanced cell adhesion and proliferation for biomedical applications.

4.4 3D Printing

Additive manufacturing with biopolymer nanocomposite filaments enables custom part production with complex geometries [23]. PLA-based nanocomposite filaments for fused deposition modeling have been commercialized. Challenges include maintaining consistent nanofiller dispersion during filament production and preventing nozzle clogging.

4.5 Layer-by-Layer Assembly

This technique alternates deposition of oppositely charged materials to build up multilayer nanocomposite films with precise control over composition and thickness [24]. While labor-intensive, it enables creation of sophisticated barrier structures and responsive materials for advanced packaging applications.

5. Biodegradation and Environmental Fate

5.1 Biodegradation Mechanisms

Biodegradation of biopolymer nanocomposites involves multiple stages: biodeterioration (physical and chemical breakdown), biofragmentation (microbial enzymatic cleavage of polymer chains), assimilation (uptake of fragments by microorganisms), and mineralization (complete conversion to CO_2, water, and biomass).

Enzymatic Degradation: Microorganisms secrete enzymes specific to polymer structures. PLA is degraded by proteinase K and lipases, while PHAs are degraded by PHA depolymerases. Starch is readily attacked by amylases [25]. The degradation rate depends on polymer crystallinity, molecular weight, and environmental conditions including temperature, moisture, pH, and microbial community composition.

Effect of Nanofillers: Nanofillers can either accelerate or retard biodegradation depending on their nature and loading. Hydrophilic cellulose nanocrystals increase water absorption, promoting hydrolytic degradation and microbial colonization. Conversely, impermeable nanoclays create barriers that slow water diffusion, potentially extending service life but delaying ultimate biodegradation. Optimizing this balance allows tailoring degradation profiles to specific applications [26].

5.2 Composting Behavior

Industrial composting (55-60°C, controlled humidity) provides optimal conditions for biopolymer degradation. PLA requires these elevated temperatures for practical degradation rates, typically disintegrating within 45-60 days [27]. PHAs and starch-based materials degrade more readily under ambient conditions.

5.3 Marine and Soil Biodegradation

Marine Environment: Ocean conditions differ significantly from composting, with lower temperatures, salinity, and distinct microbial communities. PHAs demonstrate good marine biodegradability, while PLA degrades slowly in cold seawater [28]. Research into marine-biodegradable formulations addresses applications like fishing gear and aquaculture equipment.

Soil Degradation: Agricultural applications such as mulch films benefit from soil biodegradability. Starch-based nanocomposites degrade readily in soil, improving nutrient cycling [29]. Long-term field studies assess degradation rates, residue quality, and effects on soil ecosystems.

6. Applications

6.1 Food Packaging

Food packaging represents the largest potential market for biopolymer nanocomposites. Enhanced barrier properties protect against oxygen and moisture while maintaining food quality. Antimicrobial nanocomposites extend shelf life and improve safety [30]. Films, trays, bottles, and coatings for fresh produce, dairy, meat, and processed foods have been demonstrated.

Active and Intelligent Packaging: Incorporating sensors, indicators, or controlled-release systems creates packaging that monitors food freshness, indicates time-temperature exposure, or releases antimicrobial agents in response to spoilage. These advanced functionalities add value while maintaining compostability.

6.2 Agricultural Applications

Mulch Films: Biodegradable mulch films suppress weeds, conserve moisture, and moderate soil temperature. Unlike polyethylene films requiring removal and disposal, biodegradable films are tilled into soil after harvest, degrading without residue accumulation [31]. PLA-starch-nanoclay composites demonstrate suitable mechanical properties and soil biodegradation profiles.

Controlled Release Systems: Encapsulating fertilizers, pesticides, or plant growth regulators in biopolymer nanocomposites enables controlled release, reducing application frequency [32], environmental contamination, and crop residues. Halloysite nanotube-loaded formulations show particularly promising release kinetics.

6.3 Biomedical Applications

Tissue Engineering: Biopolymer nanocomposite scaffolds support cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation. Mechanical properties can be tuned to match native tissues [33]. Biodegradability eliminates need for surgical removal, with degradation rates synchronized to tissue regeneration. PLA-hydroxyapatite nanocomposites show excellent bone regeneration performance.

Drug Delivery: Biodegradable nanocomposites enable targeted drug delivery and controlled release. pH-responsive, temperature-responsive, or enzyme-triggered release mechanisms provide spatial and temporal control. Applications span oral, transdermal, and injectable formulations. [34]

Wound Dressings: Electrospun nanocomposite mats with antimicrobial properties promote healing while preventing infection [35]. High surface area facilitates gas exchange and exudate absorption. Chitosan-based formulations demonstrate particular efficacy.

7. Future Directions and Research Opportunities

7.1 Advanced Nanofiller Design

Hierarchical Structures: Creating nanofillers with multi-scale features could provide synergistic property improvements. For example, cellulose nanocrystals with surface-grafted antimicrobial polymers combine reinforcement with functionality.

Hybrid Nanofillers: Combining different nanofiller types exploits complementary properties. Clay-cellulose hybrids might optimize both barrier and mechanical properties. Graphene oxide-chitosan combinations could provide electrical conductivity alongside antimicrobial function.

Bio-Inspired Designs: Learning from natural nanocomposites like nacre, with its brick-and-mortar arrangement of aragonite tablets in protein matrix, could guide design of ultra-strong biodegradable materials. Biomimetic approaches remain largely unexplored in this field.

7.2 Advanced Processing Technologies

Supercritical Fluid Processing: Using supercritical CO_2 as a processing aid can plasticize biopolymers, reducing processing temperatures and preventing thermal degradation. It can also facilitate nanofiller dispersion without organic solvents.

Reactive Extrusion: In-situ generation of nanofillers or interfacial coupling during extrusion could provide better dispersion and stronger interfaces. For example, in-situ polymerization of monomers onto nanofiller surfaces during compounding.

7.3 Computational Modeling and AI

Multiscale Modeling: Computational approaches linking molecular-scale interactions to macroscopic properties could accelerate materials design. Molecular dynamics simulations of polymer-nanofiller interfaces can guide surface modification strategies.

Machine Learning: AI algorithms trained on existing data could predict optimal formulations and processing conditions, dramatically reducing the experimental trial-and-error burden. High-throughput experimental platforms generating training data could accelerate discovery.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Eco-friendly biopolymer nanocomposites represent a promising pathway toward sustainable alternatives to persistent petroleum-based plastics. By combining renewable, biodegradable polymer matrices with nanoscale fillers, these materials achieve performance enhancements that address many limitations of pristine biopolymers. Cellulose nanocrystals, nanoclays, chitosan nanoparticles, and other bio-derived nanofillers provide mechanical reinforcement, barrier property improvement, and functional capabilities while maintaining environmental compatibility.

Future research should focus on advanced nanofiller design exploiting hierarchical structures and multi-functionality, smart responsive systems, computational approaches accelerating materials discovery, and integration into circular economy frameworks. Expanding biopolymer feedstock diversity using waste streams, microalgae, and metabolic engineering could improve sustainability while reducing costs.

REFERENCES

1. Kan, M., Wang, C., Zhu, B., Chen, W. Q., Liu, Y., Ren, Y., & Xu, M. (2023). Seven decades of plastic flows and stocks in the United States and pathways toward zero plastic pollution by 2050. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 27(6), 1538-1552.
2. Rangel-Buitrago, N., & Neal, W. J. (2023). A geological perspective of plastic pollution. Science of the Total Environment, 893, 164867.
3. Alias, N. H., Abdullah, N., Othman, N. H., Marpani, F., Zainol, M. M., & Shayuti, M. S. M. (2022). Sustainability challenges and future perspectives of biopolymer. In Biopolymers: Recent Updates, Challenges and Opportunities (pp. 373-389). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
4. Balart, R., Garcia-Garcia, D., Fombuena, V., Quiles-Carrillo, L., & Arrieta, M. P. (2021). Biopolymers from natural resources. Polymers, 13(15), 2532.
5. Ghassemifar, V., Zahedi, A., Meigoli, M. S. S., Mokhtari, T., Zadeh, S. S. M., Parsafar, M., ... & Esfahlan, R. J. (2025). Harnessing nanotechnology to modulate hypoxic tumor microenvironments: Enhanced strategies for oncological innovations. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 191, 118543.
6. Mukherjee, T., & Kao, N. (2011). PLA based biopolymer reinforced with natural fibre: a review. Journal of Polymers and the Environment, 19(3), 714-725.

7. Al-Hammadi, M., & Güngörmüşler, M. (2025). Polylactic acid/microalgal biopolymer synthesis: a complete process including the optimization of microalgae and biopolymer characterization. European Journal of Phycology, 1-15.
8. Alsaadi, A., Ganesen, S. S. K., Amelia, T. S. M., Moanis, R., Peeters, E., Vigneswari, S., & Bhubalan, K. (2022). Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) biopolymer synthesis by marine bacteria of the Malaysian coral triangle region and mining for PHA synthase genes. Microorganisms, 10(10), 2057.
9. Agarwal, S., Singhal, S., Godiya, C. B., & Kumar, S. (2023). Prospects and applications of starch based biopolymers. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 103(18), 6907-6926.
10. Wang, N., Yu, J., & Ma, X. (2007). Preparation and characterization of thermoplastic starch/PLA blends by one-step reactive extrusion. Polymer international, 56(11), 1440-1447.
11. Choi, S. M., & Shin, E. J. (2020). The nanofication and functionalization of bacterial cellulose and its applications. Nanomaterials, 10(3), 406.
12. Gupta, P., & Nayak, K. K. (2015). Characteristics of protein-based biopolymer and its application. Polymer Engineering & Science, 55(3), 485-498.
13. Nagarajan, K. J., Ramanujam, N. R., Sanjay, M. R., Siengchin, S., Surya Rajan, B., Sathick Basha, K., ... & Raghav, G. R. (2021). A comprehensive review on cellulose nanocrystals and cellulose nanofibers: Pretreatment, preparation, and characterization. Polymer Composites, 42(4), 1588-1630.
14. Barkane, A., Kampe, E., Platnieks, O., & Gaidukovs, S. (2021). Cellulose nanocrystals vs. cellulose nanofibers: A comparative study of reinforcing effects in uv-cured vegetable oil nanocomposites. Nanomaterials, 11(7), 1791.
15. Karak, N. (2021). Epoxy Nanocomposites with Silicon-Based Nanomaterials. In Sustainable Epoxy Thermosets and Nanocomposites (pp. 99-132). American Chemical Society.
16. Salimian, S., Malfait, W. J., Zadhoush, A., Talebi, Z., & Naeimirad, M. (2018). Fabrication and evaluation of silica aerogel-epoxy nanocomposites: Fracture and toughening mechanisms. Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics, 97, 156-164.
17. Divya, K., & Jisha, M. S. (2018). Chitosan nanoparticles preparation and applications. Environmental chemistry letters, 16(1), 101-112.

18. Nan, W., Zhao, Y., Ding, Y., Shende, A. R., Fong, H., & Shende, R. V. (2017). Mechanically flexible electrospun carbon nanofiber mats derived from biochar and polyacrylonitrile. Materials Letters, 205, 206-210.
19. Yuan, P., Tan, D., & Annabi-Bergaya, F. (2015). Properties and applications of halloysite nanotubes: recent research advances and future prospects. Applied Clay Science, 112, 75-93.
20. Li, Y., Huang, H., He, T., & Gong, Y. (2010). The effect of the preferential affinity of the solvent on the microstructure of solution-cast block copolymer thin films. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 114(3), 1264-1270.
21. Dennis, H., Hunter, D. L., Chang, D., Kim, S., White, J. L., Cho, J. W., & Paul, D. R. (2001). Effect of melt processing conditions on the extent of exfoliation in organoclay-based nanocomposites. Polymer, 42(23), 9513-9522.
22. Ji, D., Lin, Y., Guo, X., Ramasubramanian, B., Wang, R., Radacsi, N., ... & Ramakrishna, S. (2024). Electrospinning of nanofibres. Nature Reviews Methods Primers, 4(1), 1.
23. Campbell, T. A., & Ivanova, O. S. (2013). 3D printing of multifunctional nanocomposites. Nano Today, 8(2), 119-120.
24. Lipton, J., Weng, G. M., Röhr, J. A., Wang, H., & Taylor, A. D. (2020). Layer-by-layer assembly of two-dimensional materials: meticulous control on the nanoscale. Matter, 2(5), 1148-1165.
25. Shalem, A., Yehezkeli, O., & Fishman, A. (2024). Enzymatic degradation of polylactic acid (PLA). Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 108(1), 413.
26. Radhakrishnan, S., Das, P. P., & Chaudhary, V. (2024). Deciphering the pathways for evaluation of nanofillers for polymer composites: biodegradability, sustainability, and emerging applications. Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery, 14(13), 13659-13690.
27. Mudhoo, A., Mohee, R., Unmar, G. D., & Sharma, S. K. (2011). Degradation of biodegradable and green polymers in the composting environment.
28. Wang, G. X., Huang, D., Ji, J. H., Völker, C., & Wurm, F. R. (2021). Seawater-degradable polymers—fighting the marine plastic pollution. Advanced science, 8(1), 2001121.
29. Gamage, A., Thiviya, P., Mani, S., Ponnusamy, P. G., Manamperi, A., Evon, P., ... & Madhujith, T. (2022). Environmental properties and applications of biodegradable starch-based nanocomposites. Polymers, 14(21), 4578.
30. Perera, K. Y., Jaiswal, A. K., & Jaiswal, S. (2023). Biopolymer-based sustainable food packaging materials: challenges, solutions, and applications. Foods, 12(12), 2422.

31. Huang, F., Zhang, Q., Wang, L., Zhang, C., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Are biodegradable mulch films a sustainable solution to microplastic mulch film pollution? A biogeochemical perspective. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 459, 132024.
32. Fincheira, P., Hoffmann, N., Tortella, G., Ruiz, A., Cornejo, P., Diez, M. C., ... & Rubilar, O. (2023). Eco-efficient systems based on nanocarriers for the controlled release of fertilizers and pesticides: Toward smart agriculture. Nanomaterials, 13(13), 1978.
33. Shafiq, F., Mahmood, A., & Iqbal, M. (2025). Agricultural Use of Various Polymeric Nanocomposites and Future Avenues. In Specialty Polymers and Materials (pp. 21-52). Apple Academic Press.
34. Javid, A., Ahmadian, S., Saboury, A. A., Kalantar, S. M., & Rezaei-Zarchi, S. (2014). Novel biodegradable heparin-coated nanocomposite system for targeted drug delivery. RSC Advances, 4(26), 13719-13728.
35. Naseri, N., Mathew, A. P., Girandon, L., Fröhlich, M., & Oksman, K. (2015). Porous electrospun nanocomposite mats based on chitosan–cellulose nanocrystals for wound dressing: effect of surface characteristics of nanocrystals. Cellulose, 22(1), 521-534.